connection to be vanishing. There are discribed parallel displacements of Bortolotti's hyperplane in the connections of the both types, which are degenerate.
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## THREE THEOREMS ON PROJECTIVE SUBMERSIONS

S. Stepanov, I. Tsyganok<br>(Vladimir State Pedagogical University)

Projective mappings have been extensively studied in the literature. The theory of projective submersions is less investigated (see for example [1] - [4]). This paper is devoted to study of the global theory and the local theory of projective submersions. In particular, we generalize two results from [2] and [4] to a noncompact Riemannian manifold.

## 1. Introduction

A submersion of an m-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}$ ) onto an n -dimensional ( $\mathrm{m}>\mathrm{n}$ ) pseudo-Riemannian manifold ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ ) is a $\mathrm{C}^{\infty}$ - surjective map $\pi:(M, g) \rightarrow\left(N, g^{\prime}\right)$ such that at each point $x \in M$ the induced tangential map $\pi_{* x}: T_{x} M \rightarrow T_{\pi(x)} N$ is of maximal rank. The inverse image $\pi^{-1}(y)$ of a point
$\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{N}$ is said to be a fibre of $\pi$. For a submersion $\pi:(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{g}^{\prime}\right)$, the implicit function theorem tells us that the fibres of $\pi$ are closed submanifolds of ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}$ ) and at each point $y$ of $\left(N, g^{\prime}\right) \operatorname{dim} \pi^{-1}(y)=m-n$.

Let $g^{v}$ denotes the metric of $\pi^{-1}(y)$ induced by $g$. If $\operatorname{det}\left(g^{v}\right) \neq 0$ then $\pi^{-1}(y)$ is called ( see [5]) a nondegenerate submanifold of (M, g). Fibres of $\pi$ which we discuss in this paper are assumed to be nondegenerate. Then a foliation V of ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}$ ) is given by $\mathrm{V}=\left\{\pi^{-1}(\mathrm{y}) \mid \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{N}\right\}$, which determines the almost product structure

$$
\mathrm{TM}=\operatorname{ker} \pi_{*} \oplus \operatorname{ker} \pi_{*}^{\perp}
$$

where $\operatorname{ker} \pi_{*}$ will always be integrable and will be called the vertical distribution and ker $\pi_{*}^{\perp}$ will be called the horizontal distribution.

Consider a curve $\gamma: \mathrm{J} \rightarrow(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g})$ in ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}$ ), where J is being an interval, and denote $\pi(\gamma)=\pi$ o $\gamma: \mathrm{J} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{g}^{\prime}\right)$ the image of $\gamma$ by $\pi$. Then for each a curve $\gamma$ which is tangent to the distribution ker $\pi_{*}$ we have $\pi(\gamma)$ to be a point in ( $\left.\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{g}^{\prime}\right)$.

The curve $\gamma$ is called geodesic if its tangent vector field $\dot{\gamma}$ is parallel, i. e. $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma}=0$ where $\nabla$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection. That it follows ( see [6]) that a geodesic is either regular at every point, or its image degenerates to a point. A
pregeodesic is a curve $\gamma$, which becomes a geodesic after a change of parameter ( see [5]). A parameter making the curve $\gamma$ geodesic is called affine.

We assume that an arbitrary pregeodesic in ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}$ ) is mapped by $\pi$ into a pregeodesic in (M, g). Such a submersion $\pi$ is said to be projective (cf. [7]). Note also that a pregeodesic $\gamma$ which is tangent to the distribution ker $\pi_{*}$ map to a point of the manifold ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ ). This does not contradict the definition of a projective submersion.

Following [8], we call a manifold ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}$ ) a twisted - product $\mathrm{M}_{1} \times{ }_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ if $(M, g)=\left(M_{1} \times M_{2}, g_{1}+\mathrm{fg}_{2}\right)$ for pseudo-Riemannian manifolds $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right),\left(M_{2}\right.$, $g_{2}$ ) and a positive function $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{M}_{1} \times \mathrm{M}_{2} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$. If $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ are the canonical projections onto the factor $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$, then for any point $y \in M_{2}$ the fibre $\pi_{2}{ }^{-1}(y)$ is a totally geodesic submanifold of ( $M, g$ ), while for any point $z \in M_{1}$ the fibre $\pi_{1}^{-1}(z)$ is totally umbilical submanifold of (M,g). The following theorem holds.

THEOREM 1. Let $\pi:(M, g) \rightarrow\left(N, g^{\prime}\right)$ be a projective submersion of a simply connected and complete pseudo-Riemannian manifold ( $M, g$ ) onto a pseudo-Riemannian manifold $\left(N, g^{\prime}\right)$ of smaller dimension. If each fibre of $\pi$ is a nondegenerate submanifold of $(M, g)$ then $(M, g)$ is isometric to a twisted product $M_{1} \times{ }_{\mathrm{f}} M_{2}$ such that the integrable manifolds of ker $\pi_{*}$ and ker $\pi_{*}{ }^{\perp}$ correspond to the canonical foliations of the product $M_{1} \times M_{2}$.

We call a manifold ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}$ ) a locally twisted product ( see [9]) if for any point $x \in M$ there exists a neighbourhood $U=U_{1} \times U_{2}$ with a local coordinate system $x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}, x^{n+1}, \ldots, x^{m}$ such that the metric form of $(M, g)$ can be written as
$d s^{2}=g_{a b}\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) d x^{a} \otimes d x^{b}+f\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{m}\right) g_{\alpha \beta}\left(x^{n+1}, \ldots, x^{m}\right) d x^{\alpha} \otimes d x^{\beta}$ for $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{n} ; \alpha, \beta=\mathrm{n}+1, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}$ and a certain positive function f . In this case we have proved ( see [2]) that the canonical projection $\pi_{1}: U_{1} \times U_{2} \rightarrow U_{2}$ is a projective submersion.

Let $\pi:(M, g) \rightarrow\left(N, g^{\prime}\right)$ be a projective submersion from a complete Riemannian manifold ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}$ ) of a nonnegative sectional curvature K onto a Riemannian manifold ( $N, g^{\prime}$ ). In this case we have proved ( see [ 2 ] and [4]) that ( $M, g$ ) is locally a Riemannian product, that is, the orthogonal distribution ker $\pi_{*}^{\perp}$ is also integrable with totally geodesic leaves and ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}$ ) is locally a Riemannian product of the leaves of ker $\pi_{*}$ and $\operatorname{ker} \pi_{*}^{\perp}$.

Now we assume that ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}$ ) is not a complete manifold and present the following local

THEOREM 2. Let $\pi:(M, g) \rightarrow\left(N, g^{\prime}\right)$ be a projective submersion of a Riemannian manifold $\quad(M, g)$ of nonnegative sectional curvature onto a Riemannian manifold ( $N, g^{\prime}$ ) of smaller dimension. Then the leaves of ker $\pi_{*}$ are totally geodesic, the orthogonal distribution ker $\pi_{*}{ }^{+}$is also integrable with totally geodesic leaves and $(M, g)$ is locally a Riemannian product of the leaves of ker $\pi_{*}$ and ker $\pi_{*}{ }^{\perp}$.

A Riemannian manifold is called a manifold of quasi-positive sectional curvature if its sectional curvatures are everywhere nonnegative ( resp. positive semi-definite,
etc.) and positive ( resp. positive definite, etc. ) for all 2-planes at one point. Quasinegativity is dually defined ( see for example [ 10 ] ).

In [ 3 ] we have proved that a compact oriented Riemannian manifold of quasinegative sectional curvature admits no projective submersions onto Riemannian manifolds of smaller dimension. Finally we shall prove

THEOREM 3. A Riemannian manifold ( $M, g$ ) of quasi-positive sectional curvature admits no projective submersions onto Riemannian manifolds of smaller dimension.

Theorem 3 is a purelly local result.

## 2. Notes on the Proof of Theorem 1

Let $\pi:(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{g}^{\prime}\right)$ be a submersion from a pseudo-Riemannian manifold ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}$ ) onto a pseudo-Riemannian manifold ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ ) of smaller dimension. Now we assume that all fibres of $\pi$ are nondegenerate submanifolds of ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}$ ). In this case the foliation $V=\left\{\pi^{-1}(y) \mid y \in N\right\}$ corresponds a pair of mutually complementary orthogonal distributions ker $\pi_{*}$ and $\operatorname{ker} \pi_{*}^{\perp}$.

If $\pi$ is a projective submersion, then there exists a smooth 1 -form $\theta$ on ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}$ ) such that for any two vector fields $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y} \in \mathrm{C}^{\infty} \mathrm{TM}$ we have ( see [11])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mathrm{X}}^{\prime} \pi_{*} \mathrm{Y}-\pi_{*} \nabla_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Y}=\Theta(\mathrm{X}) \pi_{*} \mathrm{Y}+\Theta(\mathrm{Y}) \pi_{*} \mathrm{X} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla^{\prime}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ ) and $\pi_{*} \mathrm{Y}$ is differentiated as a vector field along $\pi$. Then, by (2.1), if $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y} \in \mathrm{C}^{\infty}\left(\operatorname{ker} \pi_{*}\right)$, we get $\pi_{*} \nabla_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Y}=0$. In this case we have $\mathrm{Q}=0$ for the second fundamental form Q of V . Hence V is a totally geodesic foliation of ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}$ ).

On the other hand, if we set $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y} \in \mathrm{C}^{\infty}\left(\operatorname{ker} \pi_{*}^{\perp}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Z} \in \mathrm{C}^{\infty}\left(\operatorname{ker} \pi_{*}\right)$ in (2.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{*} \nabla_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{X}=-\theta(\mathrm{Z}) \pi_{*} \mathrm{X} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)=-g\left(Y, \nabla_{X} Z\right)=\theta(Z) g(X, Y)$ and by symmetry $g([X, Y], Z)=0$ so that ker $\pi_{*}$ is integrable. Moreover $g(Q(X, Y), Z)=\theta(Z) g(X, Y)$. Hence $\operatorname{ker} \pi_{*}^{\perp}$ defines a totally umbilical foliation H .

Let ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}$ ) be a simply connected pseudo-Riemannian manifold with two complementary orthogonal foliations V and H such that the leaves of V are totally geodesic and geodesically complete and the leaves of H are totally umbilic. In this case R. Ponge and H. Reckziegel proved (see [8]) that (M,g) is isometric to a twisted product $\mathrm{M}_{1} \times{ }_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ such that H and V correspond to the cannonical foliations of the product $\mathrm{M}_{1} \times \mathrm{M}_{2}$.

If we suppose the geodesic completeness of ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}$ ), then the leaves of V are geodesically complete automatically. Hence the result of R. Ponge and H. Reckziegel implies Theorem 1 immediately.

REMARK. Let ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}$ ) be a Riemannian manifold and $\pi:(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{g}^{\prime}\right)$ be a projective submersion. In this case the following condition is unnecessary in the text of Theorem 1: fibres of $\pi$ are nondegenerate.

## 3. Proofs of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3

Let $\pi:(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{g}^{\prime}\right)$ be a projective submersion of an m-dimensional Riemannian manifold ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}$ ) onto an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ ) and $\mathrm{m}>\mathrm{n}$. Then the foliation V defined by ker $\pi_{*}$ is totally geodesic, the orthogonal distribution $\operatorname{ker} \pi_{*}{ }^{\perp}$ is integrable and defines a totally umbilical foliation H .

The mean curvature vector $\xi^{h}$ of $H$ defined by $g(\xi, Z)=\theta(Z)$ for $Z \in C^{\infty}\left(\operatorname{ker} \pi_{*}^{\perp}\right)$ is tangent to V . Then we may consider its divergence $\operatorname{div}_{\mathrm{V}} \xi^{\mathrm{h}}$ on V . By Corollary 2.9 of [ 12 ] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \sum_{a=1}^{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{m} K\left(X_{a}, X_{i}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}|\nabla P|^{2}+2 \operatorname{div}_{v} \xi^{h}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{X_{n+1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right\}$ are local orthonormal frams of ker $\pi_{*}^{\perp}$ and ker $\pi_{*}$ respectively, $K\left(X_{a}, X_{i}\right)$ is the sectional curvature of the plane $p=\operatorname{span}\{$ $\left.\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}$ and P is the fundamental tensor of the almost product structure $\mathrm{TM}=$ ker $\pi_{*} \oplus$ $\operatorname{ker} \pi_{*}{ }^{\perp}$.

It is know that $\pi^{-1}(\mathrm{y})$ is a closed submanifold of ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}$ ). Applying to ( 3.1 ) the Green's Theorem we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\pi^{-1}(y)}\left\{\sum_{a=1}^{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{m} K\left(X_{a}, X_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{8}|\nabla P|^{2}\right\} \eta=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta$ is the volume form on $\pi^{-1}(y)$ determined by the metric tensor field $g^{v}$.
REMARK. In the case when $\pi^{-1}(y)$ is disconnected, we can apply the Green's Theorem to each its connected component.

We assume that $\mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \geq 0$ for $1 \leq \mathrm{a} \leq \mathrm{n}$ and $\mathrm{n}+1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{m}$. Then using (3.2) we obtain $K\left(X_{a}, X_{i}\right)=0$ and $\nabla \mathrm{P}=0$ at each poin of $\pi^{-1}(\mathrm{y})$.

The foliation $V=\left\{\pi^{-1}(y) \mid y \in N\right\}$ is a decomposition of ( $M, g$ ) into a union of disjoint closed submanifolds $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{N}} \pi^{-1}(\mathrm{y})$, so that $\nabla \mathrm{P}=0$ at each point of ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}$ ). Hence ( see for example [9] ) the orthogonal distributions ker $\pi_{*}$ and $\operatorname{ker} \pi_{*}^{\perp}$ are integrable with totally geodesic leaves. Consequently, ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}$ ) is locally a Riemannian product of leaves of $\operatorname{ker} \pi_{*}$ and $\operatorname{ker} \pi_{*}^{\perp}$.

Assume now that $\mathrm{K} \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{K}>0$ for some point x of ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}$ ) where $\mathrm{x} \in \pi^{-1} \pi(\mathrm{x})$. In this case from (3.2) is conluded, that the submersion $\pi$ : ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g}) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{g}^{\prime}\right)$ can not be projective.
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## С.Е. Степанов, И.И. Цыганок

## ТРИ ТЕОРЕМЫ О ПРОЕКТИВНЫХ СУБМЕРСИЯХ

Проективные отображения широко изучены в литературе. Теория проективных субмерсий менее исследована. Настоящая работа посвящена изучению глобальной и локальной теорий проективных субмерсий. В частности, обобщаются 2 результата в случае некомпактного риманова многообразия.
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## СУЖЕНИЯ ПРОСТРАНСТВ ПРОЕКТИВНОЙ СВЯЗНОСТИ, ИНДУЦИРУЕМЫХ НА ОСНАЩЕННОЙ ГИПЕРПОЛОСЕ
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